arXiv:math-ph/0611068v1 25 Nov 2006

On Some Nonlinear Integral Equation in the (Super)String Theory

D.V. Prokhorenko [*]

February 7, 2008

Abstract

In this work some nonlinear integral equation is studied. This equation has arisen in the (super)string field theory and cosmology. In this work it is proved that some boundary problem for this equation has a solution.

---

[*] Steklov Mathematical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences Gubkin St.8, volovich@mi.ras.ru

1 Introduction

Some resent research in (super)string theory [1] leads to consideration the following pseudo-differential equation:

$$(-q^2\partial^2+1)e^{\partial^2}\Phi(x)=\Phi^3(x),$$
$$q,\ x\in\mathbb{R},\ q>0. \tag{1}$$

This equation of motion is obtained in [2]. It has been studied numerically in [3] where in particular a bound for the critical value of q was obtained. A generalization of this equation to non-flat background has been proposed as a model of cosmological dark energy [4]. In [5] it was studied the energy conservation low for this equation. Let us note that a quadratic term in the right hand side appears for open bosonic string and this equation has been studied in [6, 7, 8].

In [9] it was studied the equation (1) for the partial case when $q=0$. There it was shown that this equation has a solution satisfying the following boundary conditions:

$$\lim_{x\to+\infty}\Phi(x)=1,$$
$$\lim_{x\to-\infty}\Phi(x)=-1. \tag{2}$$

In this paper we prove that for enough small $q>0$ the boundary problem (2) for equation (1) has a solution. To prove this theorem the Leray—Schauder—Tikhonov stable point theorem is used.

2 The main theorem

We consider the following equation

$$(-q^2\partial^2+1)e^{\partial^2}\Phi(x)=\Phi^3(x),$$
$$q,\ x\in\mathbb{R},\ q\geq 0 \tag{3}$$

in the space $C^0(\mathbb{R})$ of all bounded real-valued continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$.

$$C^0(\mathbb{R})=\{f(x)\in C(\mathbb{R})|\exists C>0:\ \forall x\in\mathbb{R}\ |f(x)|<C\}, \tag{4}$$

where $C(\mathbb{R})$ is a space of all real-valued continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$. The equation (3) is a formal form of the following equation:

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}K_q(x-y)\Phi(y)dy=\Phi(y)^3, \tag{5}$$

where

$$K_q(x-y)=K^0(x-y)+q^2K^1(x-y), \tag{6}$$
$$K^0(x-y)=\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4}}, \tag{7}$$
$$K^1(x-y)=\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4}\}e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4}}. \tag{8}$$

Let T^0 and T^1 be linear operators on $C^0(\mathbb{R})$ defined by the following formulas:

$$(T^0\Phi)(x)=\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}K^0(x-y)\Phi(y)dy,$$
$$(T^1\Phi)(x)=\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}K^1(x-y)\Phi(y)dy, \tag{9}$$

and

$$T_q = T^0 + q^2 T^1. \tag{10}$$

Let $P^q : C^0(\mathbb{R}) \to C^0(\mathbb{R})$ defined by the following formula:

$$(P_q\Phi)(x) = (T_q\Phi)^{1/3}(x). \tag{11}$$

Theorem. There exists a real number $q_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 \leq q < q_0$ equation (5) has a bounded continuous solution $\Phi(x)$ such that $\Phi(x) = -\Phi(x)$ and the following boundary conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x\to+\infty} \Phi(x) &= 1, \\ \lim_{x\to-\infty} \Phi(x) &= -1. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Note that to prove the theorem we use the Leray—Schauder—Tikhonov stable point theorem.

Proof. We decompose the proof of the theorem into the sequence of several lemmas.

Lemma 1. There exists a constant $C^0 > 0$ such that for any $q \in [0, 1]$ and for any bounded continuous function $\Phi(x)$ satisfying the condition

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |\Phi(x)| \leq C^0 \tag{13}$$

we have

$$|(P_q\Phi)(x)| \leq C^0 \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{14}$$

Proof. Let $\Phi(x) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$. Let $A := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |\Phi(x)|$. We have

$$|(T_q\Phi)(x)| \leq A \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |K_q(x)| dx. \tag{15}$$

Let $B := \sup\limits_{q\in[0,1]} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |K_q(x)|dx$. We get

$$|(P_q\Phi)(x)| \le B^{1/3}A^{1/3}. \tag{16}$$

Put $C^0 = B^{1/2}$. It follows from (16) that condition $\sup\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |\Phi(x)| \le C^0$ implies that $|(P_q\Phi)(x)| \le C^0 \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 2. There exists a constant C^1 such that for any $q \in [0,1]$ and for any continuous function $\Phi(x) : |\Phi(x)| < C^0 \ \forall x$, the following statement holds

$$\forall x',\ x'' \in \mathbb{R}\ |(P_q\Phi)(x') - (P_q\Phi)(x'')| < C^1|x' - x''|^{1/3}. \tag{17}$$

Proof. Let us estimate the derivative $(T_q\Phi)'(x) := \frac{d}{dx}(T_q\Phi)(x)$. We have

$$|(T_q\Phi)'(x)| \le \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |K_q'(x-y)||\Phi(y)|dx \le$$

$$\le C^0 \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |K_q'(x)| \le C^0E,\ \forall q \in [0,1],\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{18}$$

where we put by definition

$$E := \sup_{q\in[0,1]} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |K_q'(x)|dx. \tag{19}$$

Inequality (18) immediately implies that

$$|(T_q\Phi)(x') - (T_q\Phi)(x'')| \le C^0E|x' - x''| \tag{20}$$

for all $x', x'' \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note that the following statement holds. There exists a constant $\hat{C}$ such that $\forall\, y', y'' \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|(y')^{1/3} - (y'')^{1/3}| \leq \hat{C}|y' - y''|^{1/3}. \tag{21}$$

Inequalities (20) and (21) implies that

$$|(P_q\Phi)(x') - (P_q\Phi)(x'')| \leq \hat{C}(C^0E)^{1/3}|x' - x''|^{1/3}. \tag{22}$$

Let us denote by C^1 the constant $C^1 := \hat{C}(C^0E)^{1/3}$. We have

$$|(P_q\Phi)(x') - (P_q\Phi)(x'')| \leq C^1|x' - x''|^{1/3}. \tag{23}$$

The lemma is proved.

By definition $C(\mathbb{R})$ is a space of all continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$. The space $C(\mathbb{R})$ is a Frechet space with respect to the following set of seminorms

$$\{p_n(f) := \sup_{x \in [-n,n]} |f(x)|\}. \tag{24}$$

Lemma 3. Let

$$\begin{aligned} K := \{\Phi \in C(\mathbb{R}) | \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| \leq C^0, \\ |\Phi(x') - \Phi(x'')| \leq C^1 |x' - x''|^{1/3}\, \forall x', x'' \in \mathbb{R}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

K is a compact.

Proof. This lemma follows from the Arzella — Ascolli theorem by using the Cantor diagonal method.

Let $\Psi(x)$ be a function:

$$\Psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int\limits_0^x e^{-y^2} dy \tag{26}$$

Lemma 4. There exists a constant C^2 and a positive number q_0 such that for any function $\Phi(x) \in K$ satisfying

$$\Phi(x) = -\Phi(-x) \tag{27}$$

and

$$\Phi(x) \geq C^2\Psi(x) \text{ if } x > \mathrm{o} \tag{28}$$

we have

$$(P_q\Phi)(x) \geq C^2\Psi(x) \text{ if } x > \mathrm{o}. \tag{29}$$

for all $q \in [0, q_0]$

Proof. Let us calculate $(T^0\Psi)(x)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(T^0\Psi)'(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4}}\Psi'(y)dy = \\ = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-y^2}dy = \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5\pi}}e^{-\frac{1}{5}x^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Therefore

$$(T^0\Psi)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5\pi}}\int\limits_{0}^{x} e^{-\frac{1}{5}y^2}dy \tag{31}$$

Note that $(T^0\Psi)'(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5\pi}} > 0$. One can easily prove by using this fact that there exists a constant $C^3 > 0$ such that $(T^0\Psi)(x) > 2C^3\Psi(x)$ if $x > 0$.

The following statement holds [9]. For any $\Phi(x) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\Phi(x) = -\Phi(-x)$ the condition $\Phi(x) \geq \Psi(x)$ if $x > 0$ implies that $(T^0\Phi)(x) > (T^0\Psi)(x)$ if $x > 0$.

Therefore $\forall\Phi(x) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\Phi(x) = -\Phi(-x)$ and $\Phi(x) \geq \Psi(x)$ if $x > 0$ one gets

$$(T^0\Phi)(x) \geq 2C^3\Psi(x). \tag{32}$$

Let us prove that there exists a constant C^4 such that $\forall\Phi(x) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\Phi(x) = -\Phi(-x)$ and $\sup\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |\Phi(x)| < C^0$ the following estimate holds

$$|(T^1\Phi)(x)| \leq C^4|\Psi(x)| \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{33}$$

It is easy to prove that $\sup\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |(T^1\Phi)(x)| < \infty$, $(T^1\Phi)(0) = 0$ and $\sup\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |(T^1\Phi)'(x)| < \infty$. For example

$$|(T^1\Phi)'(x)| = |\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (K^1)'(x-y)\Phi(y)dy| \leq$$

$$\leq C^0 \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |(K^1)'(y)|dy, \tag{34}$$

and

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |(K^1)'(y)|dy < \infty. \tag{35}$$

Put by definition

$$A_1 := \inf_{x\in[0,1]} \Psi'(x),$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &:= \inf_{x\in[1,+\infty]} \Psi(x), \\ A_2 &:= \sup_{x\in[0,+\infty]} |(T^1\Phi)'(x)|, \\ B_2 &:= \sup_{x\in[0,+\infty]} |(T^1\Phi)(x)|. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Let us chose C^4 such that $C^4A_1 > A_2$ and $C^4B_1 > B_2$. We find

$$|(T^1\Phi)(x)| \leq C^4|\Psi(x)|, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{37}$$

Let A be a real positive number and let q_0 be a positive number such that

$$C^4q_0^2 < C^3A. \tag{38}$$

(q_0 depends on A.) We get

$$(T_q\Phi)(x) \geq C^3A\Psi(x) \text{ if} x > \text{o} \tag{39}$$

for any function $\Phi(x)$ such that $\sup\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |\Phi(x)| < C^0$, $\Phi(x) = -\Phi(-x)$ and $\Phi(x) > A\Psi(x)$ if$x >$ o and for any $q \in [0, q_0]$.

One can easily proof that there exists a positive constant L such that $\Psi^{1/3}(x) > L\Psi(x)$ if$x >$ o. So for any positive constant $A > 0$ and the function Φ satisfying: $\sup\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |\Phi(x)| \leq C^0$, $\Phi(x) = -\Phi(-x)$ and $\Phi(x) > A\Psi(x)$ if$x >$ o one gets

$$|(P_q\Phi)(x)| \geq (C^3)^{1/3}LA^{1/3}\Psi(x) \text{ if} x > \text{o}. \tag{40}$$

Let C^2 be a positive constant such that

$$(C^3)^{1/3}L(C^2)^{1/3} \geq C^2. \tag{41}$$

If $\Phi(x)$ satisfy the conditions of the lemma then

$$|(P_q\Phi)(x)| \geq C^2\Psi(x) \text{ if} x > \text{o}. \tag{42}$$

The lemma is proved.

Remark. We can chose the constant C^2 such that $\sup\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}} C^2|\Psi(x)| < 1$. Below it will be assumed that C^2 satisfy this condition.

Lemma 5. Let $K_1 \subseteq K$ consisting of all functions $\Phi(x)$ such that

a) $\Phi(x) = -\Phi(-x)$.

b) $\Phi(x) \geq C^2\Psi(x)$ if$x > \text{o}$

K_1 is a compact.

Proof. K_1 is a closed subset of the compact K. Therefore K_1 is a compact. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 6. K_1 is a convex set i.e. if $\Phi_1,\ \Phi_2 \in K_1$ and $\alpha_1,\ \alpha_2$ be real numbers such that $\alpha_1 > 0,\ \alpha_2 > 0,\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ then $\alpha_1\Phi_1 + \alpha_2\Phi_2 \in K_1$.

Proof. It is evidence.

Lemma 7. The equation (5) has a continuous bounded solution $\Phi(x) \in K_1$ such that $\Phi(x) = -\Phi(-x)$.

Proof. Lemma 1, lemma 2 and lemma 4 implies that $P_q(K_1) \subseteq K_1$. Lemmas 5, 6 implies that K_1 is a convex compact in some locally convex space. Therefore the present lemma follows from the Leray — Schauder — Tikhonov stable point theorem [10].

Lemma 8. If $\Phi(x) \in K_1$ is a solution of equation (5) then

$$\begin{gathered}\Phi(x) \to +1; \text{as } x \to +\infty \text{ and}\\ \Phi(x) \to -1; \text{as } x \to -\infty.\end{gathered} \tag{43}$$

Proof. Note that the following statement holds.

Let D be a real number $0 < D < 1$. There exists a constant $0 < C^5(D) < 1$ such that

$$|x^{1/3} - 1| \leq C^5(D)|x - 1| \tag{44}$$

for all $x \geq D$. The proof of this statement is simple and omitted. Let $\Phi(x) \in K_1$ be a solution of (5). Let l_0 be an arbitrary positive number. Let $D_1 = \frac{1}{2}C^2\Psi(l_0)$ and $\delta_1 = \sup\limits_{x \in [l_0, +\infty)} |1 - \Phi(x)|$. If $\delta_1 = 0$ the lemma is proved. Suppose that $\delta_1 \neq 0$. Let us estimate the difference $|(P_q\Phi)(x) - \Phi(x)|$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |(T_q\Phi)(x) - \Phi(x)| = |\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} K_q(x-y)(\Phi(y) - 1)dy| \leq \\ |\int\limits_{-\infty}^{l_0} K_q(x-y)(\Phi(y) - 1)dy| + \\ +|\int\limits_{l_0}^{+\infty} K_q(x-y)(\Phi(y) - 1)dy| \leq \\ \leq 2C^0 \int\limits_{-\infty}^{l_0} |K_q(x-y)|dy + \delta_1 A^{(q)}, \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

where we put by definition

$$A^{(q)} = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |K_q(x)|dx. \tag{46}$$

The first term in the last line of (45) (we will denote it by $\chi_{l_0}(x)$) tends to zero as $x \to +\infty$. Note that $A^{(q)} \to 1$ if $q \to 0$. One can prove that we can chose the constant C^0 from lemma 1 such that $|C^0 - 1| < 1 - 2D_1$ if $0 < q < q_0$ and q_0 is enough small. So $|(T_q\Phi)(x)| > D_1$ if q_0 is enough small number $0 < q < q_0$ and x is enough large positive number. Moreover

$$\chi_{l_0}(x) + \delta_1 A^{(q)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{C^5(D_1)}}\delta_1 \tag{47}$$

if $0 \leq q < q_0$, q_0 is enough small number and x is enough large positive number. So there exist positive numbers $l_1 > 0$ and $q_0 > 0$ such that

$$|(P_q\Phi)(x) - 1| \leq \sqrt{C^5}\delta_1 \text{ if} \tag{48}$$

$0 < q < q_0$ and $x > l_0 + l_1$.

We can proof as previous that there exists a positive number l_2 such that

$$|(P_q\Phi)(x) - 1| \leq (\sqrt{C^5})^2\delta_1 \text{ if} \tag{49}$$

$x > l_0 + l_1 + l_2$.

In general by induction we can find a sequence of positive numbers l_i, $i = 0, 1, 2, ...$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |(P_q\Phi)(x) - 1| \leq (\sqrt{C^5})^n\delta_1 \text{ if} \\ x > \sum_{j=0}^{n} l_j. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Therefore $\Phi(x) \to +1$ as $x \to +\infty$. The lemma is proved.

Combining the consequences of lemmas 7, 8 we finish the proof of the theorem.

3 Conqlusion

In the present paper we study the boundary problem (2) for the equation (1). We have proved by using the Leray — Scauder — Tikhonov theorem that this problem has a solution.

4 Acknowledgements

I wold like to thank V.S. Vladimirov, I.V. Volovich, I. Ya. Aref'eva, L. V. Joukovskaya for very useful discussions.

This work was partially supported by the Russian Foundation of Basis Reasearch (project 05-01-008884), the grand of the president of the Russian Federation (project NSh-1542.2003.1) and the program "Modern problems of theoretical mathematics" of the mathematical Sciences department of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

References

[1] M. B. Green, J. H. Scwartz and E. Witten. *Superstring Theory*, Cambrige University Press, 1987.

[2] I. Ya. Aref'eva, L. V. Joukovskaya, A. S. Koshelev. JHEP, **0309**, 2003, 012; hep-th/0301137.

[3] Ya. I. Volovich, J. Phys. **A36**, 2003, 8685; math-ph/0301028.

[4] I. Ya. Aref'eva. astro-ph/0410443.

[5] L. V. Joukovskaya, Ya. I. Volovich. math-ph/0308034.

[6] N. Moeller, B. Zwiebach. JHEP, **0210**, 2002, 034.

[7] V. S. Vladimirov. Izvestiya RAN.

[8] V. Forini, G. Grignani, G. Nardelli; hep-th/0502151.

[9] V. S. Vladimirov, Ya. I. Volovich. Theor. Math. Phys. 138, 2004, 297-309.

[10] M. Reed, B. Simon. *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics: Functional Analysis, volum 1*, San Diego, 2 edition, 1980.